Appl. No. 09/801,250

Amdt. Dated July 11, 2003 Reply to Office action of June 30, 2003

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given the present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office action, and amended as necessary to more clearly and particularly describe the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the Office action mailed March 14, 2003 (paper no. 5) claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for, inter alia, lack of antecedent basis for the term "the magnet communication." This item was erroneously omitted from Amendment "B" (paper no. 6) mailed on April 15, 2003. Thus, as a supplement to Amendment "B", claim 1 has been amended herein to provide proper antecedent basis.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the application is not in a condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. 33208.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARNE & GORDON LLP

526 Superior Avenue, East **Suite 1200** Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1484 (216) 579-1700

Date: July 11, 2003

Page 5 of